Project Title Updating Bremia resistance information

for UK lettuce growers

Project number: FV 291

Project leader: Dr Jane Thomas, NIAB

Report: Final

Previous report None

Key staff: Dr Jane Thomas

Mr Mike Day

Dr Sandra Chapman

Location of project: NIAB, Cambridge

Project coordinator: Mr David Norman

Date project commenced: 1st April 2006

Date completion due: 31st March, 2007

Key words: Lettuce, downy mildew, resistance

Whilst reports issued under the auspices of the HDC are prepared from the best available information, neither the authors nor the HDC can accept any responsibility for inaccuracy or liability for loss, damage or injury from the application of any concept or procedure discussed.

The contents of this publication are strictly private to HDC members. No part of this publication may be presented, copied or reproduced in any form or by any means without prior written permission of the Horticultural Development Council.

The results and conclusions in this report are based on a series of experiments
conducted over a one-year period. The conditions under which the experiments were carried out and the results have been reported in detail and with accuracy. However, because of the biological nature of the work it must be borne in mind that different circumstances and conditions could produce different results. Therefore, care must be taken with interpretation of the results, especially if they are used as the basis for commercial product recommendations.
© 2007 Horticultural Development Council

AUTHENTICATION

We declare that this work was done under our supervision according to the procedures described herein and that the report represents a true and accurate record of the results obtained.

[Name] [Position] [Organisation]	
Signature	Date
[Name] [Position] [Organisation]	
Signature	Date
Report authorised by:	
[Name] [Position] [Organisation]	
Signature	Date
[Name] [Position] [Organisation]	
Signature	Date

CONTENTS

	Page
Grower Summary	1
Headline	1
Background and expected deliverables	1
Summary of the project and main conclusions	1
Financial benefits	4
Action points for growers	5
Science section	
Introduction	6
Materials and Methods	6
Results and Discussion	7
Conclusions	13
Technology transfer	13
Appendices	14

Grower Summary

Headline

- Significant levels of "field" or partial resistance to the BI 25 race of downy mildew have been identified in a wide range of lettuce varieties lacking complete resistance to the race
- When used in conjunction with fungicides, partial resistance provides an effective means of disease control for growers through the season
- Other varieties were highly susceptible to Bl 25, and will require careful monitoring by growers and more intensive fungicide inputs
- Varieties with claimed resistance to BI 25 generally had low or no infection, though some appeared to show late season disease increase, and a small number of varieties with claimed resistance to BI 25 had significant disease earlier in the season

Background

Major gene, complete resistance to downy mildew (*Bremia lactucae*) is frequently overcome by new variants of the fungus. The Bl 25 pathotype was confirmed in 2004, and is established in the UK. However, as with the occurrence of other new pathotypes, some varieties have appeared less susceptible to the disease even though they lacked "major" gene resistance. Partial resistance, which is probably polygenic, can be a useful factor in disease control, since it can help to reduce the number of sprays applied while still achieving the desired quality. A significant level of partial resistance may also mean that the commercial life of a variety can be prolonged. It is equally important to identify varieties with little or no residual resistance so that growers can be aware of the higher risk posed by them.

Objectives and expected deliverables

The objective of this work was to identify a range of varieties of interest with growers, and evaluate field resistance when inoculated with Bl 25 over a growing season with and without a standard fungicide programme. Varieties with and without claimed Bl 25 resistance were included.

The expected deliverable is to identify high and lower risk types for BI 25 susceptibility, and to identify those where partial resistance coupled with standard fungicide programmes is likely to provide effective disease control.

Summary of the project and main conclusions

Inoculation with BI 25 on field grown plots was successful. A three spray fungicide programme applied to one half of the plots allowed a direct comparison between chemical and chemical + genotypic control of disease. Four groups of varieties were identified. Group A were highly susceptible to BI 25, and the three spray programme used was not effective in controlling disease. Group B were moderately susceptible, and the fungicide programme reduced disease to more acceptable levels, though in

commercial production a more intensive programme would still be needed. Group C were moderately resistant, and fungicides gave good disease control and generally high head quality and cleanliness at harvest. Group D were highly resistant, and most had claims for Bl 25 resistance. However, even within this group some varieties had low levels of disease in untreated plots, but levels were insignificant or zero in treated plots. Groups are listed together with claimed Bl resistances from seed company descriptions where available.

Group A: Highly susceptible, careful monitoring and intensive spray programmes

Туре	Variety	Claimed resistance (/ = no information)
Little Gem Little Gem Cos Little Gem Little Gem Little Gem Little Gem Iceberg Cos Cos Iceberg Little Gem Iceberg	Little Gem Lucena SSC 1868 Chicago ROM 7517 Tamburo Kikos Conquest Romany Let 066 Frisco Durango Maribel SSC 1626	BI 1,5,7,10 / BI 1-16, 19, 21, 23 / BI 1-24 BI 1-16, 21,23 BI 1-16, 18-24 / BI 1,5, 7 / / BI 1-25 1-16, 19, 21, 23

Group B: susceptible, likely to develop significant disease

IcebergCapriole/IcebergBrenson/IcebergRobinson/Batavia redRedfunBI 1-16, 18-24IcebergChallenge/CosSSC 1837BI 1-16, 19, 23CosDaytona/CosSSC 1839/IcebergNIZ 44-107BI 1-16, 22, 23Red Oak LeafVulcaniaBI 1-24Red Oak LeafVesuveBI 1-24CosLS4851BI 1-26, 18-24Batavia GreenFuntasteBI 1-16, 18-24IcebergChirvel/Red OakGalianoBI 1-16, 21, 23	Type	Variety	Claimed resistance (/ = no information)
Batavia Green Funtaste BI 1-16, 18-24 Iceberg /	Iceberg Iceberg Iceberg Batavia red Iceberg Cos Cos Cos Iceberg Red Oak Leaf Red Oak Leaf	Capriole Brenson Robinson Redfun Challenge SSC 1837 Daytona SSC 1839 NIZ 44-107 Vulcania Vesuve	/ / BI 1-16, 18-24 / BI 1-16, 19, 23 / / BI 1-16, 22, 23 BI 1-24 BI 1-24
Red Oak Galiano Bl 1-16, 21, 23	Batavia Green	Funtaste	
	•	Galiano	BI 1-16, 21, 23

Group C: Moderate resistance – some disease development, but good control when treated

Туре	Variety	Claimed resistance (/= no information)
Cos Batavia Little Gem Iceberg Batavia Batavia Little Gem Red Oak Cos Iceberg Oak Leaf red Iceberg Leaf curly leaf Cos Iceberg Batavia Green	Pinokkio Noisette Coventry NIZ 44-501 Follomy Estony Maureen SSC 1866 LS4853 Stylist Gourmandine NIZ 44-502 Cancan E16.3323 E14.0246 Comice	BI 1-5, 7, 10, 11,17 BI 1-17, 21, 23 BI 1-21, 23-25 BI 1-23, 25 BI 1-24 / BI 1-25 BI 1-25 BI 1-25 BI 1-23, 25 / / / BI 1-25
Little Gem	Mickey	BI 1-25

Group D: Resistant varieties, unlikely to develop disease, reduced inputs possible

Туре	Variety	Claimed resistance (/ = no information)
Iceberg Oak Leaf red Iceberg Cos Cos Iceberg Icelia Gem Oak Leaf Green Leaf Lollo verde Batavia Green Lollo Rossa Lollo Rossa Iceberg Iceberg Iceberg Iceberg Iceberg Iceberg Iceberg Iceberg Iceberg Lolla Rossa Leaf Green Batavian red Leaf red Iceberg Little Gem	Tiger Paradai 45-25 RZ E16.1919 Jiminy Ensemble Etude Edition Design E14.7382 Miranda Kireve Livigna Exquise Fortress SSC 1624 Hattrick Boomerang Flight Xenon Ashbrook 2551 Rosemoor 2243 Foxley 2435 45-72 RZ Corberra	BI 1-25 BI 1-25 BI 1-25 J J BI 1-25 BI 1-25 BI 1-25 J BI 1-25 BI 1-27 BI 1-16, 19, 21, 23 BI 1-16, 19, 21, 23 BI 1-25 J
Red Batavia Lollo Rossa Lollo Rossa Lollo Rossa	Corberra Bijou Carmoli Nation Bastile	/ / / /
Iceberg	Kuaia	1

Many varieties without BI 25 resistance still showed significant levels of resistance when inoculated with the race. Coupled with fungicide applications, levels of resistance were high enough to give good quality heads with little disease. Absence of major gene resistance thus does not necessarily mean that a variety is unacceptably susceptible.

Financial benefits

Number of sprays can be significantly reduced on varieties with BI 1-25 resistance. In addition, spray frequency could also be reduced on many varieties where there is no claim for BI 25 resistance.

Action points for growers

- Monitor varieties in Group A carefully since downy mildew will develop quickly and there is little background resistance
- Group B varieties will also develop significant downy mildew when BL 25 predominates, but fewer sprays may be needed
- For those varieties in Group C, spray frequency may also be reduced, while for those in Group D, sprays may be unnecessary, especially when seasonal disease pressure is low.

Science Section

Introduction

Downy mildew of lettuce (*Bremia lactucae*) continues to cause considerable losses to UK growers. Fungicides are used to control the disease, but growers also exploit resistance to as part of integrated control programmes and the identification of high and low risk varieties. Disease resistance based on major gene (R genes) provides a high level of resistance, but is frequently unstable due to the evolution of new virulences in the pathogen which overcome R gene mediated resistance. Recently, a new race, Bl:25, has been identified which overcomes a specific combination of resistances, including that from the variety Discovery, and this has lead to the breakdown of resistance in many commercial varieties. Bl:25 has been identified in several European countries, including the UK. Despite loss of major gene resistance, background "field resistance" may still be present, and at a sufficiently high level to be of practical use to growers. However, without a controlled field test, the value of such resistance remains unknown. Equally, if there is little or no field resistance remaining after R gene breakdown, a variety will be high risk and will require appropriate management.

This project will evaluate the field resistance of selected lettuce varieties against the BI:25 race in an inoculated test. Disease progress will be monitored through the season to normal harvest date for specific types and for a period after this, to ensure that the robustness of field resistance under high infection pressure is adequately described. The extent to which disease can be effectively managed on varieties with differing levels of resistance will be investigated by applying a standard downy mildew fungicide programme to one half of the plots.

Materials and methods

Race Bl 25 was obtained from Natkuinbouw, The Netherlands, and increased on the variety Little Gem by spraying spores onto block raised plants with 4-6 leaves in a growth room at 16-18°C, incubating at 100% RH for 48h, growing on for 7 days, and then inducing sporulation by increasing RH again to 100%. Spores were washed from infected leaves with water and used to inoculate field plots directly. Spore concentrations in the range of 1 to 5 x 10^4 spores/ml were applied on 10^{th} August, 14^{th} August, 17^{th} August and 30^{th} August, at a rate of 50 ml per plot.

Block raised lettuce plants were transplanted on 12^{th} July . Plots were 2.25 m long with 4 rows each of 10 plants, and there were 3 replicates of each variety laid out in a randomised block design. A total of 75 varieties were planted. The variety list was agreed with the Grower Coordinator.

One half of each plot, approximately 1 m², was sprayed with a standard fungicide programme applied with hand held equipment. The programme consisted of Aliette (at 30g per 100m²) on 21st August, Manzate WG + Amistar (1.7kg/ha and 1l/ha) on 4th September, and Fubol Gold (1.9 kg/ha) on 12th September.

All plots were irrigated during the very dry conditions which were experienced after planting, and again during growth to encourage cycles of downy mildew infection and sporulation. Water was applied daily in three to five minute periods during late afternoon.

Each plot half (sprayed and non-sprayed) was assessed for % leaf area infected with downy mildew on 1st September, 9th September, and 15th September. Quality and disease assessments were carried out on plants cut from the sprayed half of the plots only on 19th September. Downy mildew severity on the head (0-5, where 5 is most severe), head quality (0-5, where 5 is best quality and 0= poor) and basal cleanliness (0-5, where 5 is poor) were evaluated. Basal cleanliness was the total effect of downy mildew, Botrytis, and senescence. A final assessment of % leaf area infected with downy mildew was made on unsprayed plants only on 29th September

Results and Discussion

Downy mildew progressed rapidly after the initial score on September 1st. The fungicide programme gave good disease control, good head quality and high basal cleanliness on those varieties which had moderate to low downy mildew scores in the untreated half of the plots, but control was much less effective on the highly susceptible varieties. Varieties were separated into highly susceptible, moderately susceptible, moderately resistant and high resistant groups, based on the untreated plot scores on 15th September (Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4). Plot data are given in Appendix I

Table 1: Downy mildew severity (% leaf area infected) on untreated and treated plots (very susceptible group)

Туре	Variety		Untro	eated		Treated		
		01/09	08/09	15/09	29/09	01/09	08/09	15/09
Little Gem	Little Gem	25.0	45.3	45.0	55.7	8.3	29.3	35.0
Little Gem	Lucena	14.0	44.0	44.7	50.7	2.7	30.0	42.0
Little Gem	SSC 1868	5.7	36.3	44.0	50.7	1.3	18.0	30.7
Cos	Chicago	26.7	37.7	41.0	42.3	7.3	23.3	32.0
Little Gem	ROM 7517	13.3	35.3	38.0	34.0	1.7	20.0	28.0
Little Gem	Tamburo	15.7	38.3	36.7	50.3	2.7	24.0	34.3
Little Gem	Kikos	8.4	38.3	36.7	38.0	1.7	20.0	26.0
Iceberg	Conquest	21.7	22.7	35.7	43.3	5.3	8.0	22.0
Cos	Romany Let 066	9.0	25.0	34.0	37.7	1.3	12.0	25.0
Cos	Frisco	15.7	31.3	32.0	38.0	2.0	19.0	23.0
Iceberg	Durango	20.7	19.0	32.0	46.7	4.0	13.3	23.0
Little Gem	Maribel	4.3	15.0	30.7	44.7	0.3	6.3	19.3
Iceberg	SSC 1626	11.7	20.0	30.3	46.7	2.4	7.3	16.0

Table 1 (contd.) Harvest scores, very susceptible group, from fungicide treated area

Туре	Variety	ariety Downy mildew 0-5,		Basal cleanliness 0-5,	
		5= severe	5 = good	5 = poorest	
Little Gem	Little Gem	4.3	3.0	3.7	
Little Gem	Lucena	4.3	2.3	3.7	
Little Gem	SSC 1868	4.3	3.0	2.7	
Cos	Chicago	4.3	3.7	2.0	
Little Gem	ROM 7517	4.0	3.0	3.3	
Little Gem	Tamburo	3.7	3.5	3.0	
Little Gem	Kikos	3.0	3.7	2.3	
Iceberg	Conquest	3.7	2.7	2.7	
Cos	Romany Let 066	3.7	3.7	3.0	
Cos	Frisco	4.0	4.0	1.7	
Iceberg	Durango	4.0	2.3	2.3	
Little Gem	Maribel	3.0	4.0	2.7	
Iceberg	SSC 1626	3.0	3.3	2.0	

Table 2: Downy mildew severity (% leaf area infected) on untreated and treated plots (susceptible group)

Туре	Variety		Untrea	ated		Т	reated	
	-	01/09	08/09	15/09	29/09	01/09	08/09	15/09
Iceberg	Capriole	5.7	10.3	27.3	46.3	0.1	1.0	16.3
Iceberg	Brenson	6.7	15.3	27.0	44.7	1.0	6.7	19.3
Iceberg	Robinson	10.7	19.0	26.7	34.0	3.3	9.7	18.0
Batavia red	Redfun	5.7	10.0	25.3	31.0	0.1	1.7	9.3
Iceberg	Challenge	2.7	12.7	24.7	41.7	0.0	0.7	14.0
Cos	SSC 1837	1.7	18.0	23.3	22.7	0.3	9.0	10.3
Cos	Daytona	10.0	15.7	20.7	26.3	1.0	6.0	11.7
Cos	SSC 1839	7.3	15.3	19.7	22.7	0.7	5.4	8.3
Iceberg	NIZ 44-107	1.0	6.0	19.0	41.0	0.0	0.7	11.7
Red Oak Leaf	Vulcania	5.0	2.3	19.0	22.3	0.0	0.3	6.0
Red Oak Leaf	Vesuve	10.0	11.7	18.7	35.0	0.4	0.0	8.0
Cos	LS4851	5.0	13.0	18.3	26.7	0.3	4.7	10.3
Batavia Green	Funtaste	2.4	6.3	17.3	15.0	0.0	0.0	6.3
Iceberg	Chirvel	0.0	0.0	14.3	44.3	0.0	0.0	2.7
Red Oak	Galiano	6.3	10.7	13.7	24.7	0.5	1.7	4.7

Table 2 (contd.) Harvest scores, susceptible group, from fungicide treated area

Type	Variety	Downy mildew	Head quality	Basal cleanliness
		0-5,	0-5,	0-5,

		5= severe	5 = good	5 = poorest
Iceberg	Capriole	3.7	2.3	2.0
Iceberg	Brenson	2.7	3.7	2.3
Iceberg	Robinson	4.0	3.3	3.0
Batavia red	Redfun	0.7	3.7	1.0
Iceberg	Challenge	2.7	2.7	1.7
Cos	SSC 1837	2.3	4.3	1.3
Cos	Daytona	3.3	4.7	2.0
Cos	SSC 1839	2.3	4.0	1.3
Iceberg	NIZ 44-107	1.7	3.7	1.3
Red Oak Leaf	Vulcania	0.0	3.7	1.7
Red Oak Leaf	Vesuve	0.7	4.0	1.3
Cos	LS4851	2.3	4.0	1.7
Batavia Green	Funtaste	2.0	4.7	1.7
Iceberg	Chirvel	1.0	3.7	0.7
Red Oak	Galiano	1.0	3.0	1.0
Iceberg	Capriole	3.7	2.3	2.0
Ŭ	•			

Table 3: Downy mildew severity (% leaf area infected) on untreated and treated plots (moderate resistance group)

Type	Variety		Untreate	ed		Tre	eated	
	-	01/09	08/09	15/09	29/09	01/09	08/09	15/09
Cos	Pinokkio	0.5	2.0	10.7	15.3	0.0	0.7	2.3
Batavia	Noisette	5.0	1.7	10.7	9.3	0.4	0.0	2.3
Little Gem	Coventry	1.7	3.0	8.3	19.3	0.3	1.7	1.7
Iceberg	NIZ 44-501	0.0	0.3	8.3	40.3	0.0	0.0	1.0
Batavia	Follomy	0.7	0.7	6.7	12.3	0.0	0.0	1.3
Batavia	Estony	0.7	0.0	6.3	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.7
Little Gem	Maureen	0.3	5.0	5.7	39.7	0.3	0.3	1.3
Red Oak	SSC 1866	1.3	0.0	4.7	10.0	0.0	0.0	1.3
Cos	LS4853	0.0	0.2	4.3	12.3	0.0	0.0	1.7
Iceberg	Stylist	1.3	0.7	4.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.7
Oak Leaf red	Gourmandine	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.7
Iceberg	NIZ 44-502	0.4	0.3	3.7	27.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
Leaf curly leaf	Cancan	0.0	0.0	2.7	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cos	E16.3323	1.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	E14.0246	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Batavia Green	Comice	0.3	0.0	1.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.3
Little Gem	Mickey	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3 (contd.) Harvest scores, moderate resistance group, from fungicide treated area

Type	Variety	Downy mildew	Head quality	Basal cleanliness
		0-5,	0-5,	0-5,

		5= severe	5 = good	5 = poorest
Cos	Pinokkio	1.7	4.3	1.7
Batavia	Noisette	1.0	4.7	1.7
Little Gem	Coventry	1.3	3.5	1.7
Iceberg	NIZ 44-501	0.7	4.0	1.0
Batavia	Follomy	1.3	4.0	2.0
Batavia	Estony	1.0	3.7	2.3
Little Gem	Maureen	1.3	4.7	1.7
Red Oak	SSC 1866	0.0	4.7	0.3
Cos	LS4853	1.0	4.3	0.7
Iceberg	Stylist	1.3	3.0	1.0
Oak Leaf red	Gourmandine	0.3	4.3	2.7
Iceberg	NIZ 44-502	1.0	4.0	1.3
Leaf curly leaf	Cancan	0.0	4.7	2.0
Cos	E16.3323	0.0	4.0	1.0
Iceberg	E14.0246	0.3	4.0	1.0
Batavia Green	Comice	0.3	4.0	2.3
Little Gem	Mickey	1.0	4.0	0.7

Downy mildew severity (% leaf area infected) on untreated and treated plots (resistant group) Table 4:

Туре	Variety		Treated					
		01/09	08/09	15/09	29/09	01/09	08/09	15/09
Iceberg	Tiger	0.4	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3

Oak Leaf red	Paradai	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	45-25 RZ	0.3	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cos	E16.1919	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cos	Jiminy	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	Ensemble	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	Etude	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	Edition	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	Design	0.2	0.7	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	E14.7382	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Little Gem	Miranda	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
Oak Leaf Green	Kireve	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Leaf Lollo verde	Livigna	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Batavia Green	Exquise	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lollo Rossa	Fortress	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lollo Rossa	SSC 1624	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	Hattrick	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	Boomerang	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	Flight	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lolla Rossa	Xenon	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Leaf Green	Ashbrook 2551	1.2	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Batavian red	Rosemoor 2243	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Leaf red	Foxley 2435	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Iceberg	45-72 RZ	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Little Gem	Corberra	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Red Batavia	Bijou	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lollo Rossa	Carmoli	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lollo Rossa	Nation	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lollo Rossa	Bastile	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0
Iceberg	Kuaia	2.7	1.0	0.0	1.3	0.3	0.0	0.0

Table 4 (contd.) Harvest scores, resistant group, from fungicide treated area

Туре	Variety	Downy mildew	Head quality	Basal cleanliness
		0-5,	0-5,	0-5,
		5= severe	5 = good	5 = poorest

lceberg Oak Leaf red	Tiger Paradai	1.3 0.3	3.7 3.7	0.7 2.7
Iceberg	45-25 RZ	0.0	4.3	1.0
Cos	E16.1919	0.3	4.3	0.7
Cos	Jiminy	0.3	4.3	2.0
Iceberg	Ensemble	0.5	3.5	0.5
Iceberg	Etude	0.7	3.7	1.0
Iceberg	Edition	0.7	4.3	1.0
Iceberg	Design	0.3	4.7	1.0
Iceberg	E14.7382	0.0	3.7	1.0
Little Gem	Miranda	1.0	4.0	1.0
Oak Leaf Green	Kireve	0.0	5.0	2.3
Leaf Lollo verde	Livigna	0.0	5.0	1.7
Batavia Green	Exquise	0.0	4.3	2.3
Lollo Rossa	Fortress	0.0	4.0	1.0
Lollo Rossa	SSC 1624	0.0	4.0	0.3
Iceberg	Hattrick	0.7	4.3	0.0
Iceberg	Boomerang	0.3	4.0	1.3
Iceberg	Flight	0.3	3.3	0.7
Lolla Rossa	Xenon	0.0	4.0	1.3
Leaf Green	Ashbrook 2551	0.3	5.0	1.3
Batavian red	Rosemoor 2243	0.0	4.3	0.7
Leaf red	Foxley 2435	0.0	4.7	0.3
Iceberg	45-72 RZ	0.3	4.7	0.7
Little Gem	Corberra	0.3	3.5	1.0
Red Batavia	Bijou	0.0	3.7	0.3
Lollo Rossa	Carmoli	0.0	3.5	1.0
Lollo Rossa	Nation	0.0	4.7	1.3
Lollo Rossa	Bastile	0.0	4.3	1.0
Iceberg	Kuaia	0.7	3.7	0.7

There was considerable variation in the severity of downy mildew developing on varieties without any claimed resistance to BI 25. For instance, Follomy and Noisette were classified as moderately resistant, and in treated plots, disease levels were very low. Follomy has claimed resistance to BI 1-24, and Noisette has resistance to BI 1-17, 21 and 23. The severity of disease on these, and some other varieties without BI 25 resistance was as low as that observed on some material which had claimed resistance to the race. Of those with claimed resistance to BI 25, few developed a high level of disease, though low levels were observed on several e.g. Gourmandine and Maureen. The latter variety developed significant levels in the final score. It is not known whether this represents the local and naturally occurring presence of a BI 25 breaking race, or whether there may have been an unusual effect of late season conditions which caused the material to break down. Nevertheless, in the treated part of the plots, levels remained very low, and head quality was high. Unusually, a few varieties with BI 1-25 resistance claims were very susceptible, e.g. Maribel.

Conclusions

This work has demonstrated that significant levels of partial resistance are present in varieties without major genes for resistance to Bl 25. When used with a fungicide programme, plants show very little disease development and retain good quality at

harvest. Identification and use of partial resistance in disease management can extend the life of varieties even when major resistances are not effective. Under low disease pressure, fungicide inputs might also be reduced. However, other varieties without BI 25 resistance were very susceptible to the race breaking that resistance, and the fungicide programme used here failed to control disease adequately. Such varieties are at high risk of downy mildew infection, and would require careful monitoring and intensive spray programmes.

Technology transfer

The principles and outcomes of this project were outlined in the proceedings of the British Leafy Salads Association Conference, November 2006, and an HDC News Article, May 2007. A Fact Sheet to cover varieties of major interest is proposed.

APPENDIX I Plot data, disease severity scores

		UT	Т	UT	Т	UT	Τ	UT
		01-	01-	08-	-80	15-	15-	29-
Score date		Sep						
1	Follomy	0.1	0	1	0	0	0	12
		0	0	1	0	7	2	5
		2	0	0	0	13	2	20

Mean =			0.7	0	0.67	0	6.67	1.33	12.33
	2	Estony	0.1	0	0	0	11	2	10
			2	0	0	0	5	0	2
M			0	0	0	0	3	0	10
Mean =	2	Naissta	0.7	0	0	0	6.33	0.67	7.33
	3	Noisette	5 7	0.1	5 0	0.1 0	8 15	3 2	6 12
			3	1 0	0	0	15 8	2	12
Moon -			5 5	0.37	1.67	0.03	10.33	2.33	9.33
Mean =	4	E16.8179 (Mickey)	0	0.37	0	0.03	3	2.33	9.33
	4	E10.0179 (WIICKEY)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Wican -	5	E16.3323	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	3	L 10.3023	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			3	0	0	0	5	0	0
Mean =			1	0	0	0	1.67	0	0
Widan	6	E16.1919	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Ū	210.1010	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Modif	7	Maureen	0	1	3	0	0	0	45
	•		0	0	0	0	4	1	32
			1	0	12	1	13	3	42
Mean =			0.33	0.33	5	0.33	5.67	1.33	39.67
	8	Pinokkio	1	0	5	2	8	2	20
			0.5	0	1	0	16	2	20
			0	0	0	0	8	3	6
Mean =			0.5	0	2	0.67	10.67	2.33	15.33
	9	Coventry	5	1	1	0.1	5	2	20
		•	0.1	0	8	5	10	3	16
			0	0	0	0	10	0	22
Mean =			1.7	0.33	3	1.7	8.33	1.67	19.33
	10	Jiminy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0.17	0	0	0	0	0	0
	17	Ensemble	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	18	Etude	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	0	0	0.67
	19	Stylist	3	0.1	2	0.1	4	1	12
			1	0	0	0	8	1	8
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			1.33	0.03	0.67	0.03	4	0.67	6.67
	20	Tiger	1	0	0.1	0	0	0	0
			0.1	0	0	0	2	1	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =	0.4	□ d(4)	0.37	0	0.03	0	0.67	0.33	0
	21	Edition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

				•	•	•	•	•	•
			1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0 0.33	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Mean -	22	Design	0.55	0	2	0.1	0	0	6
	22	Design	0.5	0	0	0.1	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0.17	0	0.67	0.03	0	0	2
Modif	23	E14.7382	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		21111002	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0.03	0	0	0	0	0	0
	24	E14.0246	0	0	0	0	3	0	2
			0	0	0	0	2	0	0
			0	0	0.1	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0.03	0	1.67	0	0.67
	25	41-19 RZ (Miranda)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	6	1	0	0	0
			0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0.03	0	2	0.33	0	0	0
	26	83-86 RZ (Kireve)	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0.07	0	0	0	0	0	0
	27	83-43 RZ (Paradai)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	0.67	0	0
	28	85-00 RZ (Livigna)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0.67	0	0	0	0	0	0
	30	BVP.4883 (Comice)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	4	1	2
			1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =	0.4	Fi.	0.33	0	0	0	1.33	0.33	0.67
	31	Exquise	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0 1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0.67	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Mean -	33	DIP.5490 (Gourmandine)	0.67	0	0	0	0	0	0
	33	DIF.5490 (Godiffiandine)	0	0	0	0	12	2	10
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	4	0.67	3.33
WCarr =			O	Ū	O	J	7	0.07	0.00
	35	Brenson	5	1	15	7	18	12	40
			0	0	5	0	16	6	42
			15	2	26	13	47	40	52
Mean =			6.67	1	15.33	6.67	27	19.33	44.67
	37	Tamburo	7	1	30	15	15	28	50
			20	2	38	22	48	34	54
			20	5	47	35	47	41	47
Mean =	0.0	5.11	15.67	2.67	38.33	24	36.67	34.33	50.33
	38	Robinson	2	5	17	7	27	18	34

			5	0	10	0	16	4	18
N4			25	5	30	22	37	32	50
Mean =	39	Cancan	10.67 0	3.33 0	19 0	9.67 0	26.67 0	18 0	34 2
	39	Cancan	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 10
			0	0	0	0	8	0	15
Mean =			0	0	0	0	2.67	0	9
	40	NIZ 44-501	0.1	0	1	0	0	0	44
			0	0	0	0	22	2	50
			0	0	0	0	3	1	27
Mean =			0.03	0	0.33	0	8.33	1	40.33
	43	ROM 7517	15	1	32	12	28	18	15
			10	1	38	18	33	18	27
			15	3	36	30	53	48	60
Mean =			13.33	1.67	35.33	20	38	28	34
	44	NIZ 44-502	0.1	0	1	0	8	2	33
			1	0	0	0	0	0	18
			0	0	0	0	3	1	30
Mean =			0.37	0	0.33	0	3.67	1	27
	45	NIZ 44-107	0	0	8	1	14	8	48
			0	0	10	1	26	12	48
			3	0	0	0	17	15	27
Mean =			1	0	6	0.67	19	11.67	41
	61	Galiano	7	1	15	5	12	4	32
			5	0	7	0	8	2	12
			7	0.5	10	0	21	8	30
Mean =	67	Dadfus	6.33	0.5	10.67	1.67	13.67	4.67	24.67
	67	Redfun	5	0.1	10 10	5	18 26	8	27
			5 7	0.1 0	10 10	0 0	26 32	2 18	33 33
Mean =			, 5.67	0.07	10	1.67	25.33	9.33	33 31
IVICALI –	68	Kikos	10	2	45	1.07	38	26	47
	00	TUROS	0.1	0	27	12	32	20	20
			15	3	43	33	40	32	47
Mean =			8.37	1.67	38.33	20	36.67	26	38
	70	LS4853	0	0	0.5	0	8	2	12
			0	0	0	0	2	2	13
			0	0	0	0	3	1	12
Mean =			0	0	0.17	0	4.33	1.67	12.33
	71	Funtaste	0.1	0	7	0	22	5	17
			2	0	7	0	16	6	18
			5	0	5	0	14	8	10
Mean =			2.37	0	6.33	0	17.33	6.33	15
	72	LS4851	10	1	17	8	24	16	42
			0	0	0	0	5	2	14
			5	0	22	6	26	13	24
Mean =			5	0.33	13	4.67	18.33	10.33	26.67
	73	Conquest	20	7	27	5	32	12	50 50
			10 35	2 7	17 24	9 10	37	32	50 30
Mean =			35 21.67	7 5.33	24 22.67	10 8	38 35.67	22 22	30 43.33
ivicali –	74	SSC 1626	15	5.33 2	22.67	9	33.6 <i>1</i> 22	22 14	43.33 50
	14	000 1020	10	2	20	9	22	14	30

			5	0.1	12	1	23	15	40
			15	5	28	12	46	19	50
Mean =			11.67	2.37	20	7.33	30.33	16	46.67
	76	Frisco	25	3	40	20	34	22	36
			10	2	22	16	30	22	38
			12	1	32	21	32	25	40
Mean =		Б	15.67	2	31.33	19	32	23	38
	77	Daytona	20	2	30	12	22	10	28
			5	0	0	0	14	6	24
Maan -			5 10	1	17 45.67	6	26	19	27
Mean =	78	SSC 1837	0	1 0	15.67 14	6 7	20.67 14	11.67 7	26.33 22
	10	330 1037	5	1	14	2	28	6	18
			0	0	26	18	28	18	28
Mean =			1.67	0.33	18	9	23.33	10.33	22.67
Wican –	79	SSC 1839	10	1	7	0.1	16	6	25
	, 0	000 1000	7	1	, 16	4	17	7	18
			5	0	23	12	26	12	25
Mean =			7.33	0.67	15.33	5.37	19.67	8.33	22.67
	80	Chicago	30	10	38	20	38	26	36
		g-	15	5	28	18	37	32	44
			35	7	47	32	48	38	47
Mean =			26.67	7.33	37.67	23.33	41	32	42.33
	81	Fortress	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	82	SSC 1624	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	83	Maribel	3	0	18	5	27	5	47
			7	1	27	14	38	32	45
			3	0	0	0	27	21	42
Mean =			4.33	0.33	15	6.33	30.67	19.33	44.67
	84	Little Gem	25	10	45	18	38	30	50
			25	5	44	28	47	33	52
			25	10	47	42	50	42	65
Mean =			25	8.33	45.33	29.33	45	35	55.67
	85	SSC 1866	2	0	0.1	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	12
			2	0	0	0	14	4	18
Mean =			1.33	0	0.03	0	4.67	1.33	10
	86	SSC 1868	10	2	40	20	50	42	57
			0	0	27	14	38	20	50
			7	2	42	20	44	30	45
Mean =			5.67	1.33	36.33	18	44	30.67	50.67
	88	Hattrick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	89	Boomerang	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =		0.33	0	0	0	0	0	0
90	Flight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
91	Xenon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =	Manus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
92	Vesuve	15 5	0.1 0.1	15 10	0 0	12 22	6 10	28 47
		5 10	1	10	0	22 22	8	30
Mean =		10	0.4	11.67	0	18.67	8	35
93	Vulcania	0	0.4	7	1	14	6	17
33	Vulcariia	5	0	0	0	28	12	24
		10	0	0	0	15	0	26
Mean =		5	0	2.33	0.33	19	6	22.33
102	Ashbrook 2551	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	5
		0	0	0	0	0	0	5
		3	0	0	0	0	0	8
Mean =		1.17	0	0	0	0	0	6
104	Rosemoor 2243	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
		0	0	0	0	0	0	15
		0	0	0	0	0	0	32
Mean =		0	0	0	0	0	0	18.33
105	Foxley 2435	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Mean =		0	0	0	0	0	0	5.33
109	Romany Let 066	12	3	35	20	38	33	38
		5	0	30	16	34	20	35
N4		10	1	10	0	30	22	40
Mean =	45-25 RZ	9 0	1.33	25	12	34	25	37.67
112	40-20 KZ	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 2	0 0	0 0
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =		0.33	0	0	0	0.67	0	0
113	45-72 RZ	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	10 12 112	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =		0.03	0	0	0	0	0	0
114	Challenge	3	0.1	10	0.1	22	8	40
	-	2	0	10	0	22	18	37
		3	0	18	2	30	16	48
Mean =		2.67	0.03	12.67	0.7	24.67	14	41.67
115	Capriole	5	0.1	8	1	12	3	45
		5	0.1	15	1	30	14	48
		7	0	8	1	40	32	46
Mean =		5.67	0.07	10.33	1	27.33	16.33	46.33
116	Durango	12	5	15	7	28	22	50

		20	2	18	15	23	14	40
		30	5	24	18	45	33	50
Mean =		20.67	4	19	13.33	32	23	46.67
117	Lucena	15	2	40	20	28	45	55
		7	1	45	38	52	43	50
		20	5	47	32	54	38	47
Mean =		14	2.67	44	30	44.67	42	50.67
118	Corberra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1	0	0.1	0	0	0	0
		1	0	0.1	0	0	0	0
Mean =		0.67	0	0.07	0	0	0	0
119	Bijou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
120	Carmoli	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
121	Nation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean =		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
122	Bastile	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	21	15	0	0	0
Mean =		0	0	7	5	0	0	0
123	Chirvel	0	0	0.1	0	26	5	44
		0	0	0	0	15	2	47
		0	0	0	0	2	1	42
Mean =		0	0	0.03	0	14.33	2.67	44.33
124	Kuaia	5	1	3	0.1	0	0	0
		3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Mean =		2.67	0.33	1	0.03	0	0	1.33

Harvest quality scores

				D Mildew 0-5	Head quality 0-5	Basal cleanliness 0-5
				5= severe	5 = good	5 = worst
Plot	code	Variety	Туре			
43	1	Follomy	Batavia	1.0	4.0	1.0
108	1	Follomy	Batavia	1.0	4.0	2.0
187	1	Follomy	Batavia	2.0	4.0	3.0
				1.3	4.0	2.0

69	2	Estony	Batavia	0.0	4.0	2.0
107	2	Estony	Batavia	1.0	4.0	2.0
181	2	Estony	Batavia	2.0	3.0	3.0
				1.0	3.7	2.3
57	3	Noisette	Batavia	1.0	5.0	2.0
129	3	Noisette	Batavia	1.0	4.0	1.0
173	3	Noisette	Batavia	1.0	5.0	2.0
				1.0	4.7	1.7
2	4	E16.8179 (Mickey)	Little Gem	1.0	4.0	0.0
134	4	E16.8179 (Mickey)	Little Gem	1.0	4.0	1.0
164	4	E16.8179 (Mickey)	Little Gem	1.0	4.0	1.0
				1.0	4.0	0.7
66	5	E16.3323	Cos	0.0	4.0	1.0
124	5	E16.3323	Cos	0.0	3.0	0.0
189	5	E16.3323	Cos	0.0	5.0	2.0
				0.0	4.0	1.0
24	6	E16.1919	Cos	0.0	5.0	0.0
149	6	E16.1919	Cos	1.0	4.0	1.0
186	6	E16.1919	Cos	0.0	4.0	1.0
				0.3	4.3	0.7
23	7	Maureen	Little Gem	1.0	5.0	1.0
118	7	Maureen	Little Gem	1.0	4.0	2.0
214	7	Maureen	Little Gem	2.0	5.0	2.0
				1.3	4.7	1.7
5	8	Pinokkio	Cos	1.0	4.0	2.0
132	8	Pinokkio	Cos	2.0	4.0	1.0
182	8	Pinokkio	Cos	2.0	5.0	2.0
				1.7	4.3	1.7
44	9	Coventry	Little Gem	2.0	5.0	2.0
97	9	Coventry	Little Gem	1.0	3.0	2.0
176	9	Coventry	Little Gem	1.0	4.0	1.0
				1.3	4.0	1.7
68	10	Jiminy	Cos	1.0	4.0	3.0
121	10	Jiminy	Cos	0.0	4.0	1.0
195	10	Jiminy	Cos	0.0	5.0	2.0
				0.3	4.3	2.0
60	17	Ensemble	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	0.0
103	17	Ensemble	Iceberg	1.0	3.0	1.0
223	17	Ensemble	Iceberg	MP	0.5	0.5
40	40	-		0.5	3.5	0.5
12	18	Etude	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
81	18	Etude	Iceberg	1.0	3.0	1.0
222	18	Etude	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	1.0
				0.7	3.7	1.0
9	19	Stylist	Iceberg	2.0	3.0	1.0
85	19	Stylist	Iceberg	1.0	3.0	1.0
179	19	Stylist	Iceberg	1.0	3.0	1.0
		,	3	1.3	3.0	1.0
40	20	Tiger	Iceberg	1.0	3.0	0.0
102	20	Tiger	Iceberg	2.0	4.0	1.0
215	20	Tiger	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
		•	J	1.3	3.7	0.7
62	21	Edition	Iceberg	1.0	5.0	1.0

119	33	DIP.5490	Oak Leaf red	1.0	5.0	3.0
7	33	DIP.5490 (Gourmandine)	Oak Leaf red	0.0	4.0	3.0
. • •	٠.	·	5.55	0.0	4.3	2.3
168	31	Exquise	Batavia Green	0.0	5.0	3.0
93	31	Exquise	Batavia Green	0.0	4.0	3.0
41	31	Exquise	Batavia Green	0.0	4.0	1.0
				0.3	4.0	2.3
151	30	BVP.4883 (Comice)	Green	1.0	4.0	2.0
106	30	BVP.4883 (Comice)	Green Batavia	0.0	4.0	2.0
47	30	BVP.4883 (Comice)	Batavia Green Batavia	0.0	4.0	3.0
211	28	85-00 RZ (Livigna)	verde	0.0 0.0	5.0 5.0	2.0 1.7
109	28	85-00 RZ (Livigna)	verde Leaf Lollo	0.0	5.0	1.0
51	28	85-00 RZ (Livigna)	verde Leaf Lollo	0.0	5.0	2.0
		, ,	Leaf Lollo	0.3	3.7	2.7
175	27	83-43 RZ (Paradai)	Oak Leaf red	0.0	4.0	2.0
98	27	83-43 RZ (Paradai)	Oak Leaf red	0.0	4.0	3.0
31	27	83-43 RZ (Paradai)	Oak Leaf red	1.0	3.0	3.0
			J	0.0	5.0	2.3
197	26	83-86 RZ (Kireve)	green Oak Leaf green	0.0	5.0	2.0
48 105	26 26	83-86 RZ (Kireve) 83-86 RZ (Kireve)	green Oak Leaf	0.0	5.0 5.0	3.0 2.0
			Oak Leaf	1.0	4.0	1.0
160	25	41-19 RZ (Miranda)	Little Gem	2.0	4.0	1.0
136	25	41-19 RZ (Miranda)	Little Gem	1.0	4.0	2.0
21	25	41-19 RZ (Miranda)	Little Gem	0.0	4.0	0.0
				0.3	4.0	1.0
191	24	E14.0246	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
146	24	E14.0246	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	1.0
55	24	E14.0246	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	1.0
	,	~ -	3	0.0	3.7	1.0
185	23	E14.7382	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	2.0
128	23	E14.7382	Iceberg	0.0	3.0	1.0
61	23	E14.7382	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	0.0
1/7	<i>_</i>	Design	locherg	0.0	4.7	1.0
174	22 22	Design	Iceberg	0.0	4.0 5.0	1.0
33 150	22 22	Design Design	Iceberg Iceberg	1.0 0.0	5.0 4.0	1.0 1.0
00	00	D :	la a banna	0.7	4.3	1.0
178	21	Edition	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	1.0
92	21	Edition	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0

		(Gourmandine)				
225	22	DIP.5490	Oaklastusd	0.0	4.0	2.0
225	33	(Gourmandine)	Oak Leaf red	0.0	4.0	2.0
10	25	Duanaan	laahauu	0.3	4.3	2.7
16	35 35	Brenson	Iceberg	2.0	4.0	2.0
87	35	Brenson	Iceberg	2.0	4.0	2.0
184	35	Brenson	Iceberg	4.0	3.0	3.0
4.5	07	T	L:441- O	2.7	3.7	2.3
15	37	Tamburo	Little Gem	3.0	3.0	3.0
142	37	Tamburo	Little Gem	4.0	4.0 5*	3.0
205	37	Tamburo	Little Gem	4.0		3.0
67	38	Robinson	laahauu	3.7 4.0	3.5	3.0
67 121			Iceberg		3.0	3.0
131	38	Robinson	Iceberg	3.0	4.0	2.0
165	38	Robinson	Iceberg	5.0	3.0	4.0
			Leaf curly	4.0	3.3	3.0
26	39	Cancan	leaf	0.0	4.0	2.0
			Leaf curly			
94	39	Cancan	leaf	0.0	5.0	2.0
200	39	Cancan	Leaf curly leaf	0.0	5.0	2.0
200	39	Caricari	leai	0.0	4.7	2.0
34	40	NIZ 44-501	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	1.0
138	40	NIZ 44-501	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
172	40	NIZ 44-501	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
172	40	INIZ 44-30 I	iceberg	0.7	4.0	1.0
65	43	ROM 7517	Little Gem	4.0	3.0	3.0
88	43	ROM 7517	Little Gem	3.0	3.0	3.0
161	43	ROM 7517	Little Gem	5.0	5.0	4.0
101	40	INOINI 7317	Little Geiii	4.0	3.7	3.3
70	44	NIZ 44-502	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	2.0
101	44	NIZ 44-502	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
212	44	NIZ 44-502	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
212	77	1112 44 002	locacig	1.0	4.0	1.3
35	45	NIZ 44-107	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
133	45	NIZ 44-107	Iceberg	3.0	3.0	1.0
221	45	NIZ 44-107	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	2.0
221	40	1412 TT-107	locacig	1.7	3.7	1.3
28	61	Galiano	Red Oak	1.0	2.0	1.0
96	61	Galiano	Red Oak	1.0	3.0	1.0
207	61	Galiano	Red Oak	1.0	4.0	1.0
207	01	Gallario	rica oak	1.0	3.0	1.0
3	67	Redfun	Batavia red	0.0	4.0	1.0
130	67	Redfun	Batavia red	1.0	3.0	1.0
190	67	Redfun	Batavia red	1.0	4.0	1.0
100	O1	rtodidii	Batavia rou	0.7	3.7	1.0
				0.7	0.1	1.0
32	68	Kikos	Little Gem	3.0	4.0	1.0
114	68	Kikos	Little Gem	3.0	3.0	3.0
198	68	Kikos	Little Gem	3.0	4.0	3.0
.00	-	14	0 00111	3.0	3.7	2.3
30	70	LS4853	Cos	1.0	3.0	0.0
115	70	LS4853	Cos	1.0	5.0	1.0
177	70	LS4853	Cos	1.0	5.0	1.0
		20.000	000	1.0	4.3	0.7
						0.1

			Batavia			
37	71	Funtaste	Green	1.0	5.0	1.0
			Batavia			
122	71	Funtaste	Green Batavia	2.0	5.0	2.0
206	71	Funtaste	Green	3.0	4.0	2.0
				2.0	4.7	1.7
4	72	LS4851	Cos	3.0	4.0	2.0
86	72	LS4851	Cos	1.0	4.0	0.0
213	72	LS4851	Cos	3.0	4.0	3.0
				2.3	4.0	1.7
73	73	Conquest	Iceberg	3.0	3.0	3.0
140	73	Conquest	Iceberg	4.0	3.0	2.0
209	73	Conquest	Iceberg	4.0	2.0	3.0
				3.7	2.7	2.7
49	74	SSC 1626	Iceberg	4.0	3.0	2.0
123	74	SSC 1626	Iceberg	2.0	4.0	1.0
201	74	SSC 1626	Iceberg	3.0	3.0	3.0
				3.0	3.3	2.0
54	76	Frisco	Cos	4.0	4.0	2.0
112	76	Frisco	Cos	4.0	4.0	2.0
193	76	Frisco	Cos	4.0	4.0	1.0
				4.0	4.0	1.7
8	77	Daytona	Cos	4.0	4.0	3.0
120	77	Daytona	Cos	2.0	5.0	1.0
153	77	Daytona	Cos	4.0	5.0	2.0
			_	3.3	4.7	2.0
20	78	SSC 1837	Cos	1.0	4.0	1.0
148	78	SSC 1837	Cos	2.0	4.0	1.0
166	78	SSC 1837	Cos	4.0	5.0	2.0
4=	70	000 4000	•	2.3	4.3	1.3
45	79 70	SSC 1839	Cos	3.0	4.0	1.0
117	79 70	SSC 1839	Cos	1.0	4.0	1.0
152	79	SSC 1839	Cos	3.0 2.3	5.0 4.3	2.0 1.3
53	80	Chicago	Cos	4.0	3.0	2.0
77	80	•	Cos	4.0	4.0	1.0
183	80	Chicago Chicago	Cos	4.0 5.0	4.0	3.0
103	00	Chicago	Cos	4.3	3.7	2.0
64	81	Fortress	Lollo Rossa	0.0	4.0	1.0
127	81	Fortress	Lollo Rossa	0.0	3.0	1.0
210	81	Fortress	Lollo Rossa	0.0	5.0	1.0
210	01	1 0111033	20110 1 10334	0.0	4.0	1.0
27	82	SSC 1624	Lollo Rossa	0.0	4.0	0.0
147	82	SSC 1624	Lollo Rossa	0.0	4.0	1.0
219	82	SSC 1624	Lollo Rossa	0.0	4.0	0.0
2.0	02	000 1021	20110 1 10000	0.0	4.0	0.3
				0.0		0.0
74	83	Maribel	Little Gem	2.0	3.0	1.0
135	83	Maribel	Little Gem	4.0	4.0	3.0
180	83	Maribel	Little Gem	3.0	5.0	4.0
				3.0	4.0	2.7
6	84	Little Gem	Little Gem	4.0	2.0	4.0
99	84	Little Gem	Little Gem	4.0	3.0	3.0
194	84	Little Gem	Little Gem	5.0	4.0	4.0

				4.3	3.0	3.7
42	85	SSC 1866	Red Oak	0.0	4.0	0.0
82	85	SSC 1866	Red Oak	0.0	5.0	0.0
156	85	SSC 1866	Red Oak	0.0	5.0	1.0
50	00	000 4000	1:111 0 **	0.0	4.7	0.3
50	86	SSC 1868	Little Gem**	5.0	3.0	3.0
113	86	SSC 1868	Little Gem**	5.0	5.0	3.0
218	86	SSC 1868	Little Gem**	3.0	3.0	2.0
40	00	l lattuial.	la a la a u u	4.3	3.7	2.7
46 80	88	Hattrick	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	0.0
	88	Hattrick	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	0.0
216	88	Hattrick	Iceberg	1.0	5.0	0.0
38	89	Poomorana	looborg	0.7 0.0	4.3 4.0	0.0
36 144	89	Boomerang	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
202	89	Boomerang	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	1.0
202	09	Boomerang	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	2.0 1.3
1.1	00	Eliabt	looborg			
14 84	90	Flight	Iceberg	0.0	3.0	0.0
	90	Flight	Iceberg	0.0	3.0	1.0
220	90	Flight	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
60	04	Vanan	Lalla Dassa	0.3	3.3	0.7
63	91	Xenon	Lolla Rossa	0.0	4.0	2.0
111	91	Xenon	Lolla Rossa	0.0	4.0	1.0
217	91	Xenon	Lolla Rossa	0.0	4.0	1.0
			Red Oak	0.0	4.0	1.3
1	92	Vesuve	Leaf	0.0	5.0	1.0
			Red Oak			
125	92	Vesuve	Leaf	1.0	4.0	1.0
163	92	Vesuve	Red Oak Leaf	1.0	4.0	2.0
103	92	vesuve	Leai	0.7	4.3	1.3
			Red Oak	0.7	4.5	1.5
56	93	Vulcania	Leaf	0.0	3.0	1.0
		.,,	Red Oak			
143	93	Vulcania	Leaf Red Oak	0.0	4.0	2.0
188	93	Vulcania	Leaf	0.0	4.0	2.0
100	00	Valoarna	2041	0.0	3.7	1.7
25	102	Ashbrook 2551	Leaf Green	0.0	5.0	1.0
79	102	Ashbrook 2551	Leaf Green	0.0	5.0	1.0
169	102	Ashbrook 2551	Leaf Green	1.0	5.0	2.0
100	102	7 toribrook 2001	2001 010011	0.3	5.0	1.3
58	104	Rosemoor 2243	Batavian red	0.0	5.0	1.0
104	104	Rosemoor 2243	Batavian red	0.0	4.0	1.0
158	104	Rosemoor 2243	Batavian red	0.0	4.0	0.0
		. 1000001 == 10	24.4.1.4.1.1.24	0.0	4.3	0.7
				0.0	9	0
18	105	Foxley 2435	Leaf red	0.0	4.0	0.0
145	105	Foxley 2435	Leaf red	0.0	5.0	0.0
162	105	Foxley 2435	Leaf red	0.0	5.0	1.0
				0.0	4.7	0.3
71	109	Romany Let 066	Cos	4.0	3.0	4.0
90	109	Romany Let 066	Cos	3.0	4.0	2.0

157	109	Romany Let 066	Cos	4.0	4.0	3.0
				3.7	3.7	3.0
75	112	45-25 RZ	Iceberg	0.0	5.0	2.0
89	112	45-25 RZ	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	0.0
203	112	45-25 RZ	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	1.0
				0.0	4.3	1.0
13	113	45-72 RZ	Iceberg	0.0	4.0	1.0
116	113	45-72 RZ	Iceberg	0.0	5.0	1.0
154	113	45-72 RZ	Iceberg	1.0	5.0	0.0
				0.3	4.7	0.7
10	114	Challenge	Iceberg	2.0	3.0	1.0
78	114	Challenge	Iceberg	2.0	3.0	2.0
159	114	Challenge	Iceberg	4.0	2.0	2.0
				2.7	2.7	1.7
11	115	Capriole	Iceberg	3.0	2.0	0.0
141	115	Capriole	Iceberg	4.0	3.0	3.0
204	115	Capriole	Iceberg	4.0	2.0	3.0
				3.7	2.3	2.0
52	116	Durango	Iceberg	4.0	3.0	3.0
76	116	Durango	Iceberg	4.0	2.0	2.0
208	116	Durango	Iceberg	4.0	2.0	2.0
		-	_	4.0	2.3	2.3
17	117	Lucena	Little Gem	4.0	2.0	4.0
95	117	Lucena	Little Gem	4.0	2.0	4.0
224	117	Lucena	Little Gem	5.0	3.0	3.0
				4.3	2.3	3.7
22	118	Corberra	Little Gem	0.0	3.0	1.0
83	118	Corberra	Little Gem	0.0	4.0	1.0
199	118	Corberra	Little Gem	1.0	4.0	1.0
				0.3	3.7	1.0
36	119	Bijou	Red Batavia	0.0	4.0	0.0
126	119	Bijou	Red Batavia	0.0	3.0	0.0
192	119	Bijou	Red Batavia	0.0	4.0	1.0
		,		0.0	3.7	0.3
59	120	Carmoli	Lollo Rossa	0.0	4.0	1.0
137	120	Carmoli	Lollo Rossa	0.0	3.0	1.0
196	120	Carmoli	Lollo Rossa	0.0	5.0	1.0
		G 4	255 1 10000	0.0	4.0	1.0
72	121	Nation	Lollo Rossa	0.0	5.0	1.0
91	121	Nation	Lollo Rossa	0.0	4.0	1.0
155	121	Nation	Lollo Rossa	0.0	5.0	2.0
100	121	radion	20110 1 100000	0.0	4.7	1.3
19	122	Bastile	Lollo Rossa	0.0	5.0	1.0
110	122	Bastile	Lollo Rossa	0.0	4.0	1.0
171	122	Bastile	Lollo Rossa	0.0	4.0	1.0
17.1	122	Dastile	Lollo 11033a	0.0	4.3	1.0
				0.0	4.5	1.0
29	123	Chirvel	Iceberg	1.0	2.0	0.0
100	123	Chirvel	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
170	123	Chirvel	Iceberg	1.0	5.0	1.0
			-	1.0	3.7	0.7
39	124	Kuaia	Iceberg	0.0	3.0	0.0
139	124	Kuaia	Iceberg	1.0	4.0	1.0
			~			

167 124 Kuaia Iceberg 1.0 4.0 1.0 0.7 3.7 0.7